CopooS consulting Ind. A basic consideration on the quaternion algebra

Jan. 2014

quaternion algebra can be described as a 4-dimensional vector space with a canonical base $\{1, i, j, k\}$ having the following Hamilton's multiplication rules [R3]: Quatamian Multiplication table

 $i^2 = -1$ $j^2 = -1$ ij = k ji = -k (non commutativness) The base components *i*, *j*, *k* can be seen as the complex numbers; similarly a concept of $i^2 = -1$ $j^2 = -1$ conjugate can be defined.

<u>Conjugate</u>: with $Q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k$ the conjugate is defined

by $\overline{Q} = q_0 - q_1 i - q_2 j - q_3 k$.

<u>Opposite</u>: it is defined by $Q = -q_0 - q_1 i - q_2 j - q_3 k$ <u>Imaginary quaternion</u>: when $q_0=0$.

Quaternion product: it is a distributive non-commutative product using the Hamilton's rules 1,*i*, *j*, *k* by multiplication table.

For example $Q.\overline{Q} = (q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k).(q_0 - q_1i - q_2j - q_3k) = q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2$

Other example: $\overline{Q_1 \cdot Q_2} = \overline{Q_2} \cdot \overline{Q_1}$

There are no ambiguities with the definitions (or axioms) on a 4-dimensional canonical base, this is useful for fundamental algebra purpose, however this is quite heavy to use and there are no obvious meaning. Moreover, for some other users, the base is different { i, j, k, 1 } leading to confusions $\mathbf{Q}_{other} = \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{q}_3 \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_4$. They also have $\mathbf{Q}_{other} = \{\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{q}_4\}$

Second representation: $Q = \{q_0, \vec{v}\}$ where q_0 is the real part, and \vec{v} is a vector having the components $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ in the imaginary canonical base i, j, k. This base can however be regarded as equivalent to any geometrical base, for example the base of a 3-dimensional Cartesian frame. Hence a link between quaternion and a real geometric world is more obvious.

Note: $\{0, \vec{v}\} = v = \frac{1}{2}(Q - \overline{Q})$ where here v is the imaginary quaternion corresponding to the vector \vec{v} .

Quaternion product second form: $Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = \{q_0, \vec{v}\} \cdot \{w_0, \vec{w}\} = \{q_0 \cdot w_0 - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}, q_0 \cdot \vec{w} + w_0 \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{v} \times \vec{w}\}$ where "• " the scalar vector dot product and " ×" the vector cross product (right handed as usual) are used in the right hand side. Note: the product of two imaginary quaternion is simply : { - $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$, $\vec{v} \times \vec{w}$ }.

Note: the product of two imaginary quaternion is simply : $\{-\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}\}$. To get only the imaginary part of this quaternion (i.e. the cross product only), we shall consider the quaternion: $\{0, \vec{\mathbf{v}}\times\vec{\mathbf{w}}\} = \frac{1}{2}\left(Q_1.Q_2 - \overline{Q_1.Q_2}\right)$ i.e. $\{0, \vec{\mathbf{v}}\times\vec{\mathbf{w}}\} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}\right)$. <u>Quaternion product matrix</u>: it can be performed with standard matrix product "*" $Q_1.Q_2 = [Q_1] * Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix}q_0 & -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3\\q_1 & q_0 & -q_3 & q_2\\q_2 & q_3 & q_0 & -q_1\\q_3 & -q_2 & q_1 & q_0\end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix}w_0\\w_1\\w_2\\w_3\end{bmatrix}$ where $[Q_1]$ is a skew symmetric matrix from Q_1 and with Q_2 written as column matrix. Also with writing $Q_1.Q_2 = \{w_0.q_0 - \vec{\mathbf{w}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{v}}, w_0 \vec{\mathbf{v}} + q_0 \vec{\mathbf{w}} - \vec{\mathbf{w}} \times \vec{\mathbf{v}}\} = \begin{bmatrix}\hat{Q}_2\\\mathbf{v}\end{bmatrix} * Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix}w_0 & -w_1 & -w_2 & -w_3\\w_1 & w_0 & w_3 & -w_2\\w_2 & -w_3 & w_0 & w_1\\w_3 & w_2 & -w_1 & w_0\end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix}q_0\\q_2\\q_3\end{bmatrix}$. The two matrixes are not identical because the product is not commutative $\begin{bmatrix}\hat{Q}_2\\\mathbf{v}\end{bmatrix} * Q_1 \neq \begin{bmatrix}Q_2\\\mathbf{v}\end{bmatrix} * Q_1$ in general.

<u>Unit quaternion</u>: Among the quaternion, the ones used here are the unit quaternion: the norm being defined as $||Q|| = Q \cdot \overline{Q}$, a unit quaternion has a norm=1. Quaternion inverse: $Q.Q^{-1} = Q^{-1}.Q = 1$ $Q^{-1} = \overline{Q}/||Q||^2$; for unit quaternion $Q^{-1} = \overline{Q}$

Vectors and coordinates: Considering a first inertial frame "i" and a second frame for a body "b" having an instantaneous

rotation $\vec{\Omega}$. An abstract vector written with the letter \vec{V} is a very concrete concept that does not depends on any frame. But operationally, the vector belongs to a vector space (here a 3-dimensions), so that the frame in which one write its coordinates is of prime importance: every scalar product, cross product, matrix form product, local derivative and even quaternion operation shall be carefully performed within the same vector space i.e. within the same frame used to write the coordinates of the vectors.

More explicitly let's use as superscript the frame in which the coordinates are written and used. The vector with coordinates written in the inertial frameⁱ is \vec{V}^i ; \vec{V}^b is the same vector \vec{V} but with coordinates written in frame^b and $\vec{\Omega}^b_{h/i}$ the instantaneous rotation of the frame^b with respect to frameⁱ but with coordinates written in frame^b. It is obvious to say that even if it is the same vector, the coordinates are in general not equal $\vec{V}^i \neq \vec{V}^b$, etc.

<u>Third representation</u> for unit quaternion that are used now on, $Q = \{\cos \theta/2, \sin \theta/2\vec{u}\}$ where \vec{u} is a unit vector. This can be written shortly with the following $Q(\theta, \vec{u})$. With this form, the quaternion give the orientation of a body with respect to a first frame^{*i*}: that is a rotation of angle θ around \vec{u} considered as a vector with coordinates written in the first frame $\vec{u} = \vec{u}^i$ (because this axis is invariant by Q, either $\vec{u} = \vec{u}^b = \vec{u}^i$ with the same coordinates in the two frames).

Note: there is not a unique unit quaternion giving an orientation: other possibility is to consider the rotation of angle 2π - θ around the axis - \vec{u} : that is: a quaternion or its opposite give the same orientation. Thus when it is useful to keep the unit quaternion uniqueness for giving orientation: a possible rule is to select the quaternion having a positive first component $\cos \theta/2 \ge 0$ and if not to use the opposite one.

<u>To orient</u>: The meaning of a quaternion product $Q_1 Q_2$ is that it gives the orientation of a third frame^b wrt a first frameⁱ,

(body wrt inertial) performed with $Q_1(\theta, \vec{u})$ and then with $Q_2(\phi, \vec{w})$ where $\vec{u} = \vec{u}^i$ unit vector axis in frameⁱ and

x.y=	1	i	j	k
1	1	i	j	k
- i -	i	-1	k	-j
j	j	-k	-1	i
k	k	j	-i	-1

 $[\]vec{w} = \vec{w}^{\circ}$ is a unit vector axis in the second frame^o (orbit). This makes obvious the link between Euler angles or Cardan This document and the information contained are "KopooS Consulting Ind." property, and shall not be disclosed to any third party without the proprietary prior written authorization. 1/2

KopooS consulting Ind. A basic consideration on the quaternion algebra Jan. 2014

angles and the quaternion. The advantage of the unit quaternion is that because they always have a norm of 1, the special cases of indetermination (gimbals lock) with the other transformations can't occur anymore. Thus for a whole quaternion $Q_{i,b=} Q_{i,o} Q_{o,b}$ we can deduce $Q_{o,b=} Q_{i,o}^{-1} Q_{i,b}$ where $Q_{x,y}$ is the quaternion from "x" to "y".

Example 2: $\begin{array}{c} \overset{\text{Reference}}{\text{frame}} & \underbrace{\Omega}_{z} & \underbrace{i}_{x} & \underbrace{v}_{z''} & \underbrace{i}_{\text{frame}} & \text{the rotations with Euler's angles in Euler sequence (3; 1; 3)} \\ (precession), \text{ then } X' (nutation) \text{ then } Z'' (spin) \text{ give the quaternion } Q_{1}(\Omega, \vec{e}_{z}).Q_{2}(i, \vec{e}_{x}).Q_{3}(v, \vec{e}_{z}). \end{array}$

<u>Vectors derivation</u>: One knows that the derivative of a vector \vec{V} depends on the frame in which the derivation is performed. $\dot{\vec{V}}_{i}^{b}{}_{i} = \frac{d\vec{V}^{b}}{dt}{}_{i} = \frac{d\vec{V}^{b}}{dt}{}_{i} + \vec{\Omega}_{b/i}^{b} \times \vec{V}^{b}$ where in $\frac{d}{dt}{}_{ix}$ indice $_{ix}$ stand for derivation reference frame, $\vec{\Omega}_{b/i}^{b}$

the instantaneous rotation of the body frame wrt the inertial frame but with coordinates written in the body frame. Expression of vector after an orientation given by a quaternion: the **sandwich** product

The rule for orienting a vector \vec{V}^i from "*i*" to "*b*" by a quaternion $Q = Q_{i,b}$ is given by the sandwiching product: $V^{ii} = Q.V^i.\overline{Q}$ where V^i and V^{ii} (without the vector arrow) are here the corresponding imaginary quaternion to the vectors \vec{V}^i and \vec{V}^{ii} . A subtle but evident relation is to be mentioned: we consider the frame "*b*" that is the frame "*i*" after the orientation defined by the quaternion $Q_{i,b}$. Because the orientations change from "*i*" to "*b*" affect the whole frame, the coordinates of a vector before the rotation in the frame "*i*" and after rotation but in the frame "*b*" are always the same, we have $V^{ib} = V^i$. Thus, we also have $V^{ii} = Q.V^{ib}.\overline{Q}$. Either this is true for any vector, thus $V^i = Q.V^b.\overline{Q}$. For example $\dot{V}^i{}_{ii} = Q\dot{V}^b{}_{ii}.\overline{Q}$. Inversely, the other form of sandwiching product, $V^b = \overline{Q}.V^i.Q$ can be used to get the rotation matrix: $V^b = [R_{i,b}] * V^i$ with $[R_{i,b}] = [\overline{Q}] * [\hat{Q}]$ Derivation with respect to the time [R1], [R2] :

In the case of a quaternion $Q (=Q_{i,b})$ that give the orientation of the body frame from the inertial frame, Q depend on the time because the body frame is mobile. To represent the orientation change, the quaternion $Q(\theta, \vec{u})$ can be written with $\vec{u} = \vec{u}^i$ a vector of the inertial frame base (so that the inertial derivatives of those base vectors are null). Either $\frac{dQ}{dt}_{/i} = \dot{Q} = \dot{q}_0 + \dot{q}_1 i + \dot{q}_2 j + \dot{q}_3 k$ (attention \dot{Q} is a <u>non-unit</u> quaternion).

Derivation of quaternion, relation with instantaneous rotation:

From $V^i = Q.V^b.\overline{Q}$ we can say that $\dot{V}^i{}_{/i} = \dot{Q}.V^b.\overline{Q} + Q.V^b.\overline{\dot{Q}}$ because derivative $\dot{V}^b{}_{/b}$ is null, V^b being fixed in the rigid body "b". It follows $\dot{V}^i{}_{/i} = \dot{Q}.V^b.\overline{Q} - \dot{Q}.V^b.\overline{Q}$, keeping in mind that $\overline{V^b} = -V^b$ and $\dot{\overline{Q}} = \dot{\overline{Q}}$. And one have also $\dot{V}^b{}_{/i} = \vec{\Omega}^b{}_{b/i} \times \vec{V}^b$ from the vector derivation, as the vector \vec{V}^b is constant (i.e. fixed in the rigid body frame "b").

This last cross product can be written in quaternion algebra, as seen before, $\dot{V}^{b}{}_{/i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Omega_{b/i}^{b} \cdot V^{b} - \overline{\Omega_{b/i}^{b}} \cdot V^{b} \right)$ and thus $\dot{V}^{i}{}_{/i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(Q \cdot \Omega_{b/i}^{b} \cdot V^{b} \cdot \overline{Q} - \overline{Q \cdot \Omega_{b/i}^{b}} \cdot V^{b} \cdot \overline{Q} \right)$. Finally by identification, we set up a remarkable relation in the quaternion algebra: $\dot{Q} = \frac{1}{2} Q \cdot \Omega_{b/i}^{b}$ (also $\dot{Q}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{b/i}^{b} \cdot Q^{-1}$) where here $\Omega_{b/i}^{b}$ represent an imaginary and non-unit quaternion, = 0 + pi + qj + rk, that has the same coordinates of the vector $\vec{\Omega}_{b/i}^{b}$ written in the body frame. Further, $\frac{1}{2} Q \cdot \Omega_{b/i}^{b} = \frac{1}{2} (q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k) \cdot (0 + pi + qj + rk)$, can be performed, as seen before, with matrixes in the form

$$"\mathcal{Q}_1.\mathcal{Q}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \end{bmatrix} * \mathcal{Q}_1 ", \text{ thus } \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Omega}_{b/i}^b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r & r & -r \\ p & 0 & r & -q \\ q & -r & 0 & p \\ r & q & -p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} r_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ give finally in matrixes: } \dot{\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Omega}_{b/i}^b \end{bmatrix} * \mathcal{Q} .$$

Ref. [R1] Vernon Chi. "Quaternions and Rotations in 3-Space", 25 September 1998 ; Leandra Vicci, 27 April 2001

[R2] R. Guiziou., "DESS AIR & ESPACE, systèmes de contrôle d'attitude et d'orbite ", Uni. Aix-Marseille III, 2001.

[R3] Contact: C. R. Koppel, kci@kopoos.com